Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/813,520	ALVANOS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted Kim	3746
l Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ted Kim</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Colin Cini</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 June 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
•		
Claims discussed: 1, 8, 16		
Prior art documents discussed: Sollinger		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Sollinger reference shows arcuate foils 24 but that are not curved in the radial direction with respect to the longitudinal axis of the engine. The incorporated examiner's amendments overcome this reference		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
α		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)